Species delimitation in *Trametes*: a comparison of ITS, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF1 gene phylogenies

Alexis Carlson¹ Alfredo Justo David S. Hibbett

Biology Department, Clark University, 950 Main Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01610

Abstract: Trametes is a cosmopolitan genus of white rot polypores, including the "turkey tail" fungus, T. versicolor. Although Trametes is one of the most familiar genera of polypores, its species-level taxonomy is unsettled. The ITS region is the most commonly used molecular marker for species delimitation in fungi, but it has been shown to have a low molecular variation in Trametes resulting in poorly resolved phylogenies and unclear species boundaries, especially in the T. versicolor species complex (T. versicolor sensu stricto, T. ochracea, T. pubescens, T. ectypa). Here we evaluate the performance of three proteincoding genes (TEF1, RPB1, RPB2) for species delimitation and phylogenetic reconstruction in Trametes. We obtained 59 TEF1, 34 RPB1 and 55 RPB2 sequences from 69 individuals, focusing on the T. versicolor complex and performed phylogenetic analyses with maximum likelihood and parsimony methods. All three protein-coding genes outperformed ITS for separating species in the T. versicolor complex. The multigene phylogenetic analysis shows the highest amount of resolution and supported nodes separating T. ectypa, T. ochracea, T. pubescens and T. versicolor with strong support. In addition three slineages are resolved in the species complex of T. elegans. The T. elegans complex includes three species: T. elegans (based on material from Puerto Rico, Belize, the Philippines), T. aesculi (from North America) and T. repanda (from Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Venezuela). The utility of gene markers varies, with TEF1 having the highest PCR and sequencing success rate and RPB1 offering the best backbone resolution for the genus.

Key words: gene phylogenies, PolyPEET, Polyporales, systematics, taxonomy

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Trametes* Fr. (Polyporales, Basidiomycota) is characterized by pileate sessile basidiocarps, trimitic

hyphal systems, smooth non-dextrinoid and nonamyloid spores, absence of true hymenial cystidia and white rot wood decay (Ryvarden 1991). Species are present in almost all forest ecosystems and are found frequently on numerous genera of hardwoods throughout northern temperate forests (Gilbertson and Ryvarden 1987). They play an important role in natural ecosystems as wood decomposers and show enormous potential for bioremediation and biodegradation endeavors, making them both ecologically and economically important. The limits of the genus and its relations with closely related genera such as Coriolopsis Murrill., Lenzites Fr. and Pycnoporus P. Karst. have been studied using a five gene dataset by Justo and Hibbett (2011), who concluded that a broad generic concept for Trametes was the optimal taxonomic and nomenclatural option for this group in view of the phylogenetic results. Other authors (Welti et al. 2012) have proposed a different taxonomic arrangement, in which four genera are recognized within Trametes based on monophyly of groups inferred from ITS and RPB2 sequences, as well as differences in morphology: these include (i) a lineage corresponding to "genuine" Trametes species; (ii) Pycnoporus species; (iii) Artolenzites Falck., including the tropical "Lenzites" elegans (Spreng.) Pat. and (iv) Leiotrametes Welti & Courtec., including three tropical species, Trametes menziesii (Berk.) Ryv., T. lactinea (Berk.) Sacc. and "Leiotrametes sp." (Welti et al. 2012). The study of Justo and Hibbett (2011) also presented a species phylogeny based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (nrITS) data, with 155 isolates representing 25 putative species-level entities, which illustrates the problems in the species taxonomy of Trametes that are the focus of the present paper. First, we address the incorporation of new ITS sequences from unsampled taxa to resolve taxonomic and nomenclatural controversies in the genus. Second, we take a closer look at the taxonomy and phylogeny of two problematic clades in the genus: the T. versicolor and T. elegans species complexes using a multilocus dataset.

Trametes versicolor, commonly known as the "turkey tail", is among the most common species within the genus and has been reported on 295 woody plant species including conifers and angiosperms (Grand and Vernia 2002; USDA database http://nt.arsgrin. gov/fungaldatabases/fungushost/fungushost.cfm). This species, together with *T. pubescens, T. ochracea*

Submitted 28 Aug 2013; accepted for publication 2 Feb 2014. ¹ Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>acarlson@clarku.edu</u>

and *T. ectypa*, form a strongly supported clade in the ITS phylogeny of Justo and Hibbett (2011), but the internal topology of the clade is poorly resolved. These four species reveal high morphological similarity but are recognized as separate taxa by Gilbertson and Ryvarden (1987), who used the color and texture of the pileus as a pivotal character for species delimitation. Tomšovský and Homolka (2004) demonstrated that *T. versicolor*, *T. ochracea* and *T. pubescens* are not sexually compatible.

Trametes elegans, as it is recognized by Gilbertson and Ryvarden (1987), is widespread in tropical and subtropical environments and demonstrates extremely variable hymenophore morphology ranging from a lamellate to poroid hymenophore, sometimes in the same specimen (Ryvarden and Johansen 1980, Gilbertson and Ryvarden 1987, Quanten 1997). Gilbertson and Ryvarden (1987) cite the species as common in southeastern USA but occurring as far north as Wisconsin and west to Texas. The ITS phylogeny of Justo and Hibbett (2011) recovered three clades among collections identified as *T. elegans*, and some geographic structure was apparent in that collections from the continental USA grouped separately from Caribbean and southeastern Asian collections.

In the present study we examine the potential of three protein-coding genes, RPB1 (RNA polymerase II largest subunit), RPB2 (RNA polymerase II second largest subunit) and TEF1 (translation elongation factor 1-alpha), for resolving species delimitation in the *T. versicolor* and *T. elegans* species complexes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction and sequencing.-DNA for 69 isolates, from which ITS data had been studied in Justo and Hibbett (2011), was readily available. DNA from three isolates was obtained from specimens collected in the Virgin Islands National Park (St John, US Virgin Islands) 4 Feb-12 Feb 2012. Protocols for DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing are the same as those outlined in Justo and Hibbett (2011). PCR amplification and sequencing of the ITS region was performed with primers ITS1F and ITS4 (White et al. 1990, Gardes and Bruns 1993). Primers EF1-983F and EF1-1567R were used to amplify approximately 500 bp of TEF1 (Rehner and Buckley 2005). Primers RPB1-Af and RPB1-Cr (Stiller and Hall 1997, Matheny et al. 2002) were used to amplify the conserved region between domains A and C of RPB1, approximately 1400 bp long. Additional sequencing primers include RPB1-Int2.2f (Binder et al. 2010) and RPB1-Int2.1r (Frøslev et al. 2005). The 6-7 region of RPB2, approximately 700-800 bp long, was amplified with primers RPB2-b6F and RPB2-b7.1R (Liu et al. 1999, Matheny 2005). Sequencing was done on an ABI 3130 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Raw sequence data were edited and assembled in Sequencher 4.7 (Gene Codes Corp.).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses.-Sequences were aligned in MAFFT 6 (Katoh and Toh 2008; http:// mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) using the "G-INS-I" strategy. Aligned sequences were exported as a single nexus file, which was manually adjusted with MacClade 4.08 (Maddison and Maddison 2002). Two ITS datasets were assembled: (i) an extended dataset that includes all newly generated sequences plus publicly available sequences in GenBank since the publication of Justo and Hibbett (2011); and (ii) a core ITS dataset that includes only the 69 isolates of Trametes that were selected for the generation of new protein-coding gene data. We also assembled individual datasets for RPB1, RPB2 and TEF1 and one combined fourgene dataset (ITS, RPB1, RPB2, TEF1). Two representatives of the Grifola frondosa (Dicks.) Gray. complex were selected as outgroups for the extended ITS dataset, and Lopharia cinerascens (Shwein.) G. Cunn. was chosen as outgroup for all other datasets. Two phylogenetic analyses were performed on all datasets, a maximum likelihood analysis (ML) using RAxML 7.2.8 (Stamatakis et al. 2008) under a GTR model with 100 bootstrap replicates and an equally weighted parsimony analysis (MP) performed with PAUP*4.0.b10 (Swofford 2002) using 1000 bootstrap replicates. Parsimony analyses were performed with the same parameters described in Justo and Hibbett (2011). Nodes were considered strongly supported if they scored a bootstrap value greater than 70% in both analyses. A search for conflicts between the core ITS dataset and each of the protein-coding genes was performed by comparing the resulting trees from each dataset and looking for strongly supported positive conflict.

RESULTS

New sequences and alignments.-161 new sequences were generated: 13 ITS, 34 RPB1, 55 RPB2 and 59 TEF1. In addition, 14 unpublished ITS sequences generated by Dr Otto Miettinen (Clark University) were included in the extended ITS dataset. Amplification of protein-coding genes was attempted in 69 isolates. PCR and sequencing of TEF1 genes succeeded in 96% of the isolates, while for RPB2 and RPB1 the success rates were 91% and 65% respectively. GenBank numbers and collection information are provided (SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE I). GenBank numbers for sequences not generated in this study are provided in the corresponding figures. A comparative overview of the datasets analyzed here is presented (TABLE I), with the exception of the extended ITS dataset. All alignments were deposited in TreeBASE under study number S14650.

Extended ITS dataset.—This dataset includes 230 sequences of *Trametes.* A total of 504 most parsimonious trees were recovered in the MP analyses (consistency index = 0.45, retention index = 0.92). Out of the 679 total characters, 227 (33%) were

Dataset	Number of ingroup sequences	Total characters	Parsimony informative characters	Most parsimonious trees	Consistency index/retention index	Strongly supported nod1es
ITS	81	685	129 (18%)	828	0.57/0.87	23 out of 42 (55%)
RPB1	53	1313	524 (40%)	263	0.49/0.78	27 out of 39 (70%)
RPB2	74	728	271 (37%)	288	0.40/0.78	28 out of 49 (57%)
TEF-1	78	528	172 (33%)	669	0.42/0.83	28 out of 42 (67%)
Combined	81	3524	1096 (31%)	650	0.45/0.80	36 out of 47 (77%)

TABLE I. Overview of the alignment and analyses

parsimony informative. The best tree from the ML analysis is illustrated (FIG. 1).

A total 33 putative species are recognized in the analyses (FIG. 1). The T. versicolor and T. elegans complexes are discussed separately. Important differences and novelties with respect to the ITS phylogeny of Justo and Hibbett (2011) are: (i) Lenzites acuta Berk. and L. vespacea (Pers.) Ryv. both belong in Trametes and are not closely related to other lamellate species of Trametes (T. betulina, T. elegans, L. warnieri Mont. & Durieu, "Lenzites sp."); (ii) newly generated sequences of T. villosa auth. from the US Virgin Islands group with sequences obtained from Gen-Bank under that name from Guadeloupe and Argentina; however these group separately with sequences from Tennessee and Mexico, suggesting the existence of cryptic species; (iii) sequences of T. sanguinea and "Pycnoporus" coccineus appear as separate in the analyses although without strong support; (iv) sequences under the name T. ljubarskii Pilát. from France and India form a paraphyletic group and represent two different species.

Phylogeny of the Trametes versicolor *complex.*—30 isolates from the core 69-taxa dataset belonging to the *T. versicolor* complex were selected for phylogenetic analysis. The individual ITS (core dataset), RPB1, RPB2 and TEF1 phylogenies for this group are illustrated (FIG. 2). The full individual phylogenies are provided (SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION). The results from the concatenated four-gene dataset are illustrated (FIG. 3). No conflicts were detected among the datasets analyzed in the present study.

The resulting phylogeny of the core ITS (FIG. 2) dataset is similar to the extended ITS dataset and the phylogeny of Justo and Hibbett (2011). Both *T. versicolor* and *T. ectypa* isolates cluster as separate groups in the ML and MP analyses but with no bootstrap support. One of the isolates of *T. ochracea* (HHB12282sp) groups with *T. versicolor* and the Argentinean isolate of *T. versicolor* (BAFC285) is not nested with the rest of *T. versicolor* isolates, so neither species forms a clade. *Trametes ochracea* and *T. pubescens* are recovered as monophyletic but with

poor support in the ML analysis, and their placement collapses in the strict-consensus MP tree.

In the individual analyses all three protein-coding genes give better separation of the taxa in this complex (FIG. 2). The Argentinean isolate BAFC285 appears nested within *versicolor* isolates (RPB1), nested within *ectypa* isolates (RPB2) or separate from all other taxa (TEF1), but none of these positions receives strong bootstrap support. The isolate HHB12282sp groups with *T. ochracea* isolates in the RPB1, RPB2 and TEF1 phylogenies. *Trametes conchifer* appears outside the *T. versicolor* complex in the ITS and RPB1 phylogenies but nested within in the RPB2 and TEF1 phylogenies, although in both cases there is no strong support for this placement.

In the combined four-gene dataset (FIG. 3), *T. conchifer* is the sister taxon of the *T. versicolor* complex, in which five strongly supported lineages are recovered: *T. pubescens, T. ochracea, T. ectypa, T.* cf. versicolor (BAFC285) and *T. versicolor*.

Phylogeny of the Trametes elegans complex.—Twelve isolates belonging to the T. elegans complex were analyzed. The ITS (core dataset), RPB1, RPB2 and TEF1 phylogenies for this group are depicted (FIG. 4). Three strongly supported clades are recovered in the four-gene dataset (FIG. 3) and for convenience are named here Trametes elegans I, II and III. Trametes elegans I is composed of isolates from continental USA and is recovered in all four individual datasets with strong support except in the RPB2 dataset (FIG. 4). Trametes elegans II contains predominantly isolates from the Caribbean region, although one isolate from the Philippines (FPR10) is also included here. This clade is not recovered in the core ITS or RPB2 dataset (FIG. 4), but it is recovered (with weak support) in the extended ITS dataset (FIG. 1a) and with strong support in the TEF1 (FIG. 4) and four-gene dataset (FIG. 3). Only one RPB1 sequence is available from this group of samples. Trametes elegans III contains predominantly isolates from southeastern Asia, although one isolate from Venezuela (OH271sp) also is included here. This clade is recovered with strong support in all analyses

MYCOLOGIA



FIG. 1. Best tree from the ML analysis of the extended ITS dataset. Bootstrap values on or below branches (ML/MP). Gray circles outlined in black represent nodes that collapse in the strict consensus tree from PAUP.







FIG. 2. *T. versicolor* complex as recovered in the best trees from the ML analyses of the individual gene datasets. Bootstrap values on or below branches (ML/MP). Gray circles outlined in black represent nodes that collapse in the strict consensus tree from PAUP.



FIG. 3. Best tree from the ML analysis of four-gene dataset. Bootstrap values on or below branches (ML/MP). Gray circles outlined in black represent nodes that collapse in the strict consensus tree from PAUP.

MYCOLOGIA





FIG. 4. *T. elegans* complex as recovered in the best trees from the ML analyses of the individual gene datasets. Bootstrap values on or below branches (ML/MP). Gray circles outlined in black represent nodes that collapse in the strict consensus tree from PAUP.

and appears as sister of the clade containing *T. elegans* I and II except in the TEF1 dataset. In the TEF1 dataset *T. elegans* II and III appear as sister clades, however, this conflict is not strongly supported by MP analysis. In addition, a single isolate (PR1133) from Puerto Rico appears to cluster within *T. elegans* II in all analyses except in the RPB2 dataset, where it appears as sister of *T. elegans* I and II.

DISCUSSION

Taxonomic overview of Trametes.—The results of the extended ITS analyses (FIG. 1) illustrate the current problems in the species-level taxonomy of *Trametes*. Five of the taxa sampled here lack names, although molecular data suggests they are, in fact, unique species: aff. *junipericola* Manjón, G. Moreno & Ryv.

(AJ354, JN645088), aff. membranacea auth (isolate X674), Trametes sp. (isolate X2029), aff. meyenii auth (JN645065, JN645083) and "Lenzites sp." (JN645059, [N645063, [N645062]. Isolates labeled Trametes ljubarskii, T. punicea and T. villosa sampled here all represent more than one species. In the cases of T. punicea (originally described from southeastern Asia) and T. villosa (originally described from Jamaica), geographically close isolates may help decide on the application of those names, but in other cases like T. ljubarskii (originally described from the Russian Far East), no isolates have been sampled from the areas near the type locality. Other unresolved problems include the relatively wide ITS variation in some species like T. hirsuta and T. membranacea and the unclear separation of T. sanguinea and "Pycnoporus" coccineus. The sparse sampling, the often difficult Taxonomic uncertainty at the species level often will complicate taxonomic issues at higher levels. For example, Welti et al. (2012) proposed the genus *Leiotrametes* to accommodate *Trametes lactinea* (as the type species) and *T. menziesii*. However, ITS data from *T. lactinea* is identical to that of *T. cubensis*, which is the type species of *Cubamyces*, a genus erected by Murrill more than a hundred years ago (Murrill 1905a). Thus we consider *Leiotrametes* a synonym of *Cubamyces* because it was discussed in Justo and Hibbett (2011).

Lamellate species of Trametes.—Based on our results, there are eight species of Trametes with a lamellate or lamellate-poroid hymenophore (FIG. 1): Trametes betulina, Lenzites acuta Berk., Lenzites vespacea, Lenzites warnieri, Lenzites sp. and the three species in the T. elegans complex discussed below. Ryvarden and Johansen (1980) highlighted the morphological similarities among Lenzites acuta, L. vespacea and L. warnieri, casting some doubt as to whether they represent different taxa. Our sequences of L. acuta and L. vespacea confirm that both species are separate from each other and from L. warnieri (FIG. 1), implying that there might have been multiple transitions from a poroid hymenophore to a lamellate one.

Combinations in *Trametes* for *L. vespacea* and *L.* warnieri have been prosposed by Zmitrovich et al. (2012). The taxon referred to as Lenzites acuta by Nuñez and Ryvarden (2001), Quanten (1997) and Ryvarden and Johansen (1980) is in need of a new name because the name Trametes acuta Lév., probably a synomyn of Coriolopsis strumosa (Fr.) Ryv., is preoccupied. The oldest name available for this taxon is Daedalea tenuis Berk. Although the combination Trametes tenuis (Hook.) Corner, based on Boletus tenuis Hook., already exists it was invalidly published (Corner 1989) under Art. 41.5 of the International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi and Plants (no reference to a basionym was made) (McNeill et al. 2012). Therefore the new combination is proposed here: Trametes tenuis (Berk.) Justo, comb. nov.; MycoBank: 805416; Basionym: Daedalea tenuis Berk., London J. Bot. 1:151 (1842).

Trametes versicolor *complex.*—Analysis of the individual (FIG. 2) and combined (FIG. 3) datasets confirm that, despite the lack of resolution in the ITS phylogenies, *T. versicolor, T. ochracea, T. pubescens* and *T. ectypa* all are separate species. All genes except

RPB1 placed the Argentinean isolate BAFC285 separately from other *versicolor* collections although in different positions. This isolate apparently represents a separate lineage, but further sampling in South America is necessary to clarify its status. The Brazilian isolate of *T. versicolor* sampled here ("Braz16") showed no significant molecular differences with respect to the northern hemisphere samples.

The grouping of the *T. ochracea* isolate HHB12282sp with *T. versicolor* in the ITS dataset (FIG. 2) is probably caused by the high similarity in ITS sequences of *versicolor* and *ochracea* (98–99%). The possibility that this anomalous placement was the consequence of hybridization between *T. ochracea* and *T. versicolor* is not supported because all protein-coding gene sequences from this isolate grouped with the other *T. ochracea* isolates and none of these sequences had hybrid *versicolor/ochracea* characteristics. Moreover, Tomšovský and Homolka (2004) found complete intersterility between their isolates of *T. ochracea* and *T. versicolor*. The ITS region of this isolate was resequenced to rule out human error.

Morphological separation of the species in the *T. versicolor* complex relies heavily on the colors, zonation and texture of the pileus surface and to a lesser extent on pore and spore size. Therefore, old, weathered and/or sterile specimens can be challenging to identify. For full morphological descriptions and additional comments, readers should refer to Gilbertson and Ryvarden (1987) and Bernicchia (2005).

Trametes versicolor, T. ochracea and T. pubescens are common and widespread in boreal and temperate northern hemisphere, with T. versicolor being the most common of the three (Gilbertson and Ryvarden 1987, Ryvarden and Gilbertson 1994, Nuñez and Ryvarden 2001). Trametes versicolor and T. pubescens also occur in tropical areas of the northern hemisphere and in tropical and temperate forest of the southern hemisphere (Ryvarden and Johansen 1980, Rajchenberg 1982, Quanten 1997). Trametes ectypa seems restricted to the Gulf Coast of the southeastern USA and in the Caribbean islands (Gilbertson and Ryvarden 1987).

Trametes elegans *complex*.—The three lineages recovered in the combined dataset (FIG. 3) are thought to represent three separate species. No clear segregation of morphological characters among the three species was observed in the specimens sampled here, and individually each species would fit the morphological descriptions of *T. elegans* by Gilbertson and Ryvarden (1987), Quanten (1997) or Nuñez and Ryvarden (2001).

Geographical distributions are correlated with phylogenetic relationships (FIGS. 1a, 4); T. elegans I occurs exclusively in continental USA (Georgia, Mississippi, Tennessee), based on material sampled here; T. elegans II is widely distributed in Central and South America and the Caribbean region (Belize, Costa Rica, Cuba, French Guiana, Martinique, Venezuela) with only one isolate from southeastern Asia (Philippines); T. elegans III is predominant in southeastern Asia and Oceania (China, New Caledonia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Thailand) with only one isolate from South America (Venezuela). Trametes elegans originally was described from Guadeloupe (Fries 1821), therefore the clade named in this study, T. elegans II, is considered to represent the true Trametes elegans. The application of the name to samples outside tropical and subtropical America should be subject to further scrutiny and tested with molecular data.

The oldest name available for a southeastern Asian representative of the T. elegans complex is Daedalea repanda Pers. described from Rawak Island (Western Papua, Indonesia), therefore this name is adopted for "elegans III": Trametes repanda (Pers.) Justo, comb. nov. MycoBank 805417. Basionym: Daedalea repanda Pers. in Gaudichaud-Beaupré, Voy. Uranie 5:168 (1827).

The presence of *T. elegans* in the Philippines and *T.* repanda in Venezuela could be due to long-distance dispersal, either natural or anthropogenic, but additional sampling is required to answer this question.

The oldest name available for a member of the T. elegans complex described from continental USA is Polyporus aesculi Fr. (Fries 1828), a sanctioned nom. nov. for Boletus aesculi-flavae Schwein. described from North Carolina (Schweinitz 1828). Murrill transferred this species to the genus Agaricus (Murrill 1905b), which he used in a similar sense to the modern Daedalea and later to Daedalea (Murrill 1908). Murrill attributed to D. aesculi (Fr.) Murill. a reniform, rigid and azonate pileus and distribution confined to southern USA and recognized a second species, alternatively named Agaricus deplanatus (Link ex Fr.) Murrill. and Daedalea amanitoides P. Beauv., with a variously shaped, flexible and zonate pileus and purely tropical distribution (Murrill 1905b, 1908). This second species as described by Murrill contains elements of T. elegans and T. repanda as accepted here, and the morphological characters used to separate *aesculi* from *deplanatus/amanitoides* are far too variable to be reliable. However, Murrill's observation that the species in this group (in southern USA) is different than its tropical counterpart(s) is supported by the molecular data presented here (FIGS. 3, 4). The epithet aesculi is adopted here for this taxon: Trametes aesculi (Fr.) Justo, comb.

nov. MycoBank 805418. Basionym: Polyporus aesculi Fr. In this case Schweinitz's name "aesculi-flavae" cannot be used and is not the correct basionym because Fries used the description when he named P. aesculi. These should be treated as synonyms, however, because P. aesculi is a sanctioned name, the combination Trametes aesculi (Fr.) Justo must be used.

Although the name T. elegans is widely used for collections made in USA its presence (in the strict sense as detected here) in North America has yet to be demonstrated. North American T. elegans, we predict, should be referred to as T. aesculi.

Taxonomic use of protein-coding genes.--When analyzed individually all three protein-coding genes tested here (RPB1, RPB2, TEF1) outperformed ITS in separating the species in the T. versicolor complex (FIG. 2). In the T. elegans complex RPB1 and TEF1 better resolved the species boundaries while RPB2 gave similar results to ITS (FIG. 4). In both cases TEF1 was the only gene that separated the five species in the T. versicolor complex and the three species in the T. elegans complex as they appear in the four-gene dataset (FIG. 3), although topological relations between the species were not resolved and differ within the T. elegans complex with respect to the other genes. RPB1 recovered the topological relations that more closely resemble the results of the four-gene dataset for both species complexes. Considering the high PCR/sequencing success rate of TEF1 we recommend the use of this gene for resolving species boundaries in other problematic complexes in Trametes but caution that this gene has limited power to resolve relationships among the species and deeper nodes in the phylogeny. To address deeper relationships, RPB1 seems to be the best suited of the three genes studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dimitrios Floudas, Beatriz Ortiz-Santana, Elisabet Sjökvist and Chris Webb helped in the collecting trips. Paula Toni helped generating ITS data for some of the Virgin Islands collections. Dr Dagmar Triebel (Munich Herbarium) managed the loan of the Papua New Guinea collections. Dr Otto Miettinen (Clark University) provided us with his unpublished ITS sequences of Trametes. Financial support from NSF through the PolyPEET grant (DEB0933081) is gratefully acknowledged. The research reported here was included in a senior honors thesis by Alexis Carlson.

LITERATURE CITED

Binder M, Larsson KH, Matheny PB, Hibbett DS. 2010. Amylocorticiales ord. nov. and Jaapiales ord. nov.: early-diverging clades of Agaricomycetidae were dominated by corticioid forms. Mycologia 102:865–880, doi:10.3852/09-288

Fries EM. 1821. Systema mycologicum sistens fungorum: ordines, genera et species hucus que cognitas. E. Mayritii. Gryphiswaldiae.

— . 1828. Elenchus fungorum: sistens commentarium in systema mycologicum. E. Mayritii. Gryphiswaldiae.

- Frøslev TG, Matheny PB, Hibbett DS. 2005. Lower-level relationships in the mushroom genus *Cortinarius* (Basidiomycota, Agaricales): a comparison of RPB1, RPB2 and ITS phylogenies. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 602–618, doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2005.06.016
- Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1987. North American polypores. Oslo, Norway: Synop Fungorum special volume. 2:434–885.

—, —, 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Mol Ecol 2:113–118, doi:10.1111/ j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x

- Grand LF, Vernia CS. 2002. New Taxa and hosts of poroid wood-decay fungi in North Carolina. Castanea 67:193– 200.
- Justo A, Hibbett DS. 2011. Phylogenetic classification of Trametes (Basidiomycota, Polyporales) based on a fivemarker dataset. Taxon 60:1567–1583.
- Katoh K, Toh H. 2008. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. Brief in Bioinform 9:286–298, doi:10.1093/bib/bbn013
- Liu YL, Whelen S, Hall BD. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Mol Biol Evol 16:1799–1808, doi:10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092
- Maddison DR, Maddison WP. 2002. MacClade4: analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Matheny PB. 2005. Improving phylogenetic inference of mushrooms with RPB1 and RPB2 nucleotide sequences (*Inocybe*; Agaricales). Mol Phylogenet Evol 35:1–20, doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.11.014
- , Liu YJ, Ammirati JF, Hall BD. 2002. Using RPB1 sequences to improve phylogenetic inference among mushrooms (*Inocybe*, Agaricales). Am J Bot 89:688–698, doi:10.3732/ajb.89.4.688
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, et al. 2012. International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code): adopted by the Eighteenth International Botanical Congress Melbourne, Australia, July 2011. Regnum Veg 154.
- Murrill WA. 1905a. The Polyporaceae of North America XII. A synopsis of the white and bright-colored pileate species. Bull Torrey Bot Club 32:469–493, doi:10.2307/ 2478463
- . 1905b. The *Polyporaceae* of North America—X. *Agaricus, Lenzites, Cerrena* and *Favolus*. Bull Torrey Bot Club 32:83–103, doi:10.2307/2478510

—. 1908. Agaricales (Polyporaceae-Agaricaceae), Part
2. N. Am. Flora 9:73–132 New York Botanical Garden.

- Nuñez M, Ryvarden L. 2001. East Asian Polypores. Oslo, Norway: Synopsis Fungorum 14(2):170–522.
- Quanten E. 1997. The polypores of Papua New Guinea. Opera Botanica Belgica 11. Meise: National Botanic Garden of Belgium. 1–352.
- Rajchenberg M. 1982. El género Coriolus (Polyporaceae) en la República Argentina. Bol Soc Bot Argent 21:17– 57.
- Rehner SA, Buckley E. 2005. A *Beauveria* phylogeny inferred from nuclear ITS and EF1-a sequences: evidence for cryptic diversification and links to *Cordyceps* teleomorphs. Mycologia 97:84–98, doi:10.3852/mycologia.97.1.84
- Ryvarden L. 1991. Genera of polypores: nomenclature and taxonomy. Oslo, Norway: Synopsis Fungorum 5:1–363.
- ——, Gilbertson RL. 1994. European polypores. Oslo, Norway: Synopsis Fungorum 7(2):388–743.
- —, Johansen I. 1980. Preliminary polypore flora of East Africa. Oslo, Norway: Synopsis Fungorum Special Volume. 1–636.
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. 2008. A Rapid Bootstrap Algorithm for the RAxML Web-Servers. Syst Biol 75:758–771, doi:10.1080/10635150802429642
- Stiller JW, Hall BD. 1997. The origin of red algae: Implications for plastid evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:4520–4525, doi:10.1073/pnas.94.9.4520
- Swofford DL. 2002. PAUP* 4.0b10: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods). Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Tomšovský M, Homolka L. 2004. Mating tests among geographically separated collections of the *Trametes* versicolor (Fr.) Pilat (Basidiomycetes, Polyporales) group. Nova Hedwigia 79:425–431, doi:10.1127/0029-5035/ 2004/0079-0425
- von Schweinitz LD. 1822. Synopsis fungorum Carolinae superioris. 1:20–131.
- Welti S, Moreau PA, Favel A, Courtecuisse R, Haon M, Navarro D, Taussac S, Lesage-Meessen L. 2012. Molecular phylogeny of *Trametes* and related genera, and description of a new genus *Leiotrametes*. Fungal Divers 55:47–64, doi:10.1007/s13225-011-0149-2
- White TJ, Bruns TD, Lee SB, Taylor JW. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. New York: Academic Press. p 315– 322.
- Zmitrovich IV, Ezhov ON, Wasser SP. 2012. A survey of species of genus *Trametes* Fr. (higher Basidiomycetes) with estimation of their medicinal source potential. Int J Med Mushrooms 14:307–19, doi:10.1615/IntJMedMushr. v14.i3.70